Professor Dr Liviu Maghitas  
Rector  
University of Agricultural Sciences and  
Veterinary Medicine  
3, Calea Manastur  
3400 Cluj-Napoca  

Romania  

Brussels, 25 February 2004  

Re: Final review report and follow-up

Dear Professor Maghitas,

Please find enclosed the final version of the report of the EUA review team regarding the University of Agricultural Sciences and Veterinary Medicine in Cluj-Napoca.

On behalf of the EUA Board, I would like to thank you again for your initiative to participate in the institutional evaluation programme. We hope that the report will meet your expectations towards the review process. This programme has as its ultimate aim to support member universities in their efforts towards a modern and dynamic management of quality as a fundamental tool to reinforce the university's capacity for change.

Although sending you the report constitutes the formal conclusion of the review process from the EUA point of view, we would like to suggest that reviewed universities design and send us a plan of action showing how the university intends to implement the report's recommendations. We would be grateful to receive a copy of this document during the next 4 to 6 months. The idea behind this proposal, which relies entirely on your initiative, is to encourage the university to maintain the dynamics of change initiated by the review process. The plan should, of course, be based on the university's own priorities and mechanisms and in particular on the university's specific interpretation of the experts' recommendations. EUA also would like to offer you the possibility to receive written comments on this document from the members of the review team that visited your institution.

Such a plan of action would constitute an excellent basis for a possible follow-up process. Indeed, EUA offers to already reviewed universities the possibility of a follow-up visit one or two years after the end of the review process. Eleven universities, which had been reviewed between 1995 and 1998, have already made use of this possibility, which allowed them together with the experts to take stock of the reforms implemented in the meantime and give new impulse towards change; two other universities are now initiating a similar follow-up process. Thus we will contact your university again in one or two years from now, in order to ask whether you would be interested in such a visit.
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As you know, we consider that the result of the review process is the property of the university, which is free to decide whether to publish the report or not. However, since one of the goals of the programme is to disseminate good practice of quality management, we would like to ask you to allow EUA to use the final review report on your institution when presenting the programme and its results (by using the enclosed form). It would help us to give a full understanding of the programme to interested institutions or governmental agencies - at national and European levels.

I looking forward to your reply and to our further collaboration.

Yours sincerely,

Ms Lesley Wilson
Secretary General

Encl.